

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (<sup>WY</sup>) No. & pp *\o\\_\\\*, *\\* 

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

#### RESPONSE TO SELECTION FOR SEED YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN FABA BEAN (*Vicia Faba L*.)

H. E. Yassien, \* A.S.A Abo El-Hamd,\*\* N. A. Azzaz\*\* and M. H. Hridy. \*\*

\*Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, AI-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. \*\*Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, AI-Azhar

University, Assiut, Egypt.

Received **\***^ August **\*·!\*** Accepted **!**^ Oct. **\*·!\*** 

#### ABSTRACT

Two populations of faba bean (Vicia faba L.) derived from the crosses of Giza $\xi$ <sup>4</sup> x Misr<sup>1</sup> (population I) and Giza $\xi$ <sup>4</sup> x Assiut Y10 (population II) were made for selection by two methods, i.e. pedigree selection (PSM) and bulk selection (BSM) from  $F_{\tau}$  to  $F_{o}$  generation. The selection criteria were number of pods/plant (PP), seed index (SI) and seed yield/plant (SYP). Results revealed highly significant differences among genotypes (selected families, parents, bulk population and checks varieties) for  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$  and F<sub>o</sub> generations in the two populations. For two selection methods, F<sub>a</sub> progenies had the highest means for all traits of the two crosses except for days to maturity compared to  $F_{\gamma}$  progenies. The mean values for days to maturity, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, \...seed weight and seed yield/plant in population I and II were higher by PSM than BSM. Therefore, PSM was found to be the best method for faba bean breeding for higher yield compared to the other method. The results also indicated that pedigree selection decreased the genetic variability measured as a genotypic coefficient of variability of the selection criterion and other studied traits after two cycles of selection in both populations. Correlation study for F<sub>o</sub> indicated that, seed yield/plant was positive correlated with plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant and \...seed

# weight, when the selection criteria was number of pods/plant (PP), seed index (SI) and seed yield/plant (SYP).

# INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) is an important source of protein for human and animal nutrition. Moreover, as other seed legumes, faba bean provides nitrogen fixation and has a major role in crop rotations in many regions of the world (Alan and Ceren,  $\forall \cdot \cdot \circ$ ). In case of crop rotation, faba bean legume improves soil fertility and reduces weeds, diseases and pests (Mwanam wenge et al.,  $\uparrow \uparrow \land \land$ ).

The total cultivated area and yield for dry seed of faba bean in Egypt is  $\forall \forall \forall \forall 1$  hectare ( $1 \land \xi \cdots$  Fadden) produced  $\forall \forall \forall \forall \forall \forall \forall t$  tones (F.A.O  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ). In Egypt, there is little possibility of increasing the cultivated area, therefore it is important to obtained higher-yielding varieties through breeding programs. Plant breeders are continuously searching for more effective and efficient selection procedure for crop improvement. Numerous procedures have been proposed but only a few valid comparisons have been made between alternative procedures. Pedigree and early generation seed yield testing methods are described in most plant breeding texts. These breeding procedures take advantage of the rapid fixation of favorable alleles through selection (Shalaby et al., Y...), Yamani Y... and Ahmed et al.,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The objective of this work was to study, the efficiency of pedigree selection for number of pods/plant, \...seed weight and seed yield improving seed yield and its components in two populations of faba bean.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out at Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University Experimental Farm (Assiut Branch) during the period of  $7 \cdot \cdot \Lambda/7 \cdot \cdot 9$ ,  $7 \cdot \cdot 9/7 \cdot 1 \cdot$  and  $7 \cdot 1 \cdot /7 \cdot 11$  growing seasons. The main objective of this study was to compare the relative efficiency of two selection procedures i.e. pedigree and bulk selection for improving seed yield and its components in faba bean (*Vicia faba L*). The breeding material used in this study was  $17 \cdot \cdot F_{r_{-}}$  families traced back to random  $F_{7}$  plants from two crosses Giza $\xi^{\gamma}$  (population I) and (P1XP7) (population II) the

parents (P<sup>\</sup>XP<sup>\</sup>) were obtained from legume crops selection, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza. Egypt. While, Assiut <sup>\\o</sup>: a breeding line in the ninth generation, provided by prof. Dr. E. A. Waly, Horticultural Dep. Faculty of Agriculture Assiut University.

# **Experiments Layout:**

In  $\forall \cdot \cdot \land / \forall \cdot \cdot \land$  season,  $\forall \forall \cdot \cdot$  individual  $F_{\tau}$  plants of the two populations were grown in a breeding nursery in non – replicated rows of  $\forall$  meters long  $\forall \cdot cm$  between rows and  $\forall \cdot cm$  between hills. A total of  $\forall \land \cdot$  plants were selected for three characters, number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/plant

In the  $\forall \cdot \cdot \forall / \forall \cdot \rangle$  growing season, the  $\forall \land \cdot F \notin$  plants which were selected from each population with the original parents,  $F_{\pounds}$  bulk random sample (a mixture of equal number of seed from each plant) grown in a randomized complete block design of three replications. Each plot was single rows  $\forall m \text{ long}$ ,  $\forall \cdot \text{ cm}$  apart and  $\forall \cdot \text{ cm}$  between hills. The beast  $\forall \forall$  plants from the beast  $\forall \land \cdot$  families of both populations for each of the selection criteria i.e number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/plant were saved to give the  $F_{\circ}$  families

In  $\forall \cdot \cdot \cdot / \forall \cdot \cdot \cdot$  season,  $\forall \forall F_{\xi}$  families which were selected from each of selection criteria as well as  $F_{\circ}$  bulk sample, with the parents and the two checks cultivars Giza  $\xi \cdot (\text{chek} \cdot)$  and Giza  $\forall \forall \xi$ (chek $\forall$ ) were sown at  $\forall \cdot^{\text{th.}}$  of October in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each family was single row  $\forall m$ long,  $\forall \cdot \text{cm}$  between rows and  $\forall \cdot \text{cm}$  between hills. Recommended cultural practices for faba bean production were adopted throughout the growing seasons. The following traits were measured on ten random plants from each family, parent, bulk, and chick, days to maturity, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant,  $\forall \cdot \cdot$ -seed weight and seed yield/plant.

#### **Statistical Analysis:**

For each season, estimates of phenotypic and genotypic variance, as well as heritability estimates were calculated from E.M.S of variance components of the selected families as presented in table ( $^{\gamma}$ ) **Table 1: The analysis of variance and expected mean squares.** 

Source of variance	D.F	M.S	E.M.S
Replications	r- )	M٣	σ'e+gσ' r
Genotypes	g-1	Мт	σe+rσg
Error	(r-`)( g-`)	M	σ΄e

Where: r and g = number of replications and genotypes, respectively.

 $\sigma^{^{\tau}} e$  and  $\sigma^{^{\tau}} g$  = error variance and genetic variance, respectively.

The phenotypic  $(\sigma^{\gamma}p)$  and genotypic  $(\sigma^{\gamma}g)$  variance as given by Al-Jibouri et al.,  $(190 \lambda)$ 

Heritability the broad sense was estimated from the analysis of variance.

The genotypic variance  $\sigma^{\gamma}g = M_{\gamma} - M_{\gamma}/r$ 

The phenotypic variance  $\sigma^{r}p = \sigma^{r}g + \sigma^{r}e/r$ 

Heritability in broad sense "H" =  $(\sigma^{r}g/\sigma^{r}p) x \cdots$ .

The phenotypic (p.c.v%) and genotypic (g.c.v%) coefficient of variability were calculated as  $(\sigma p/x^{-1})^{1} \cdots$  and  $(\sigma g/x^{-1})^{1} \cdots$  respectively.

# **Correlations among studied attributes:**

The statistical analysis was carried out as illustrated by Steel and Torrie (1914), Phenotypic and genotypic correlations coefficients were calculated as described by Johnson et al. (1900), as follows: Phenotypic correlation  $rp_{xy} = Covp_{xy} / (\sigma p_{xy}, \sigma p_{xy})$  and genotypic correlation  $rg_{xy} = Covg_{xy} / (\sigma g_x, \sigma g_y)$ .

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Two cycles of pedigree selection were achieved in two faba bean populations (*Vicia faba L*) stemmed from a crosses between  $Giza {}^{\xi \gamma q}/Misr'$  (population I) and  $Giza {}^{\xi \gamma q}/Assiut {}^{\gamma \circ}$  (population II)

- 205 -

in the  $F_{r_{\ell}}$   $F_{\epsilon}$  and  $F_{\circ}$  generations. Direct pedigree selection for number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/plant were applied.

# Description of the base population ( $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$ generation).

Means and variance of the characteristics of the individual plants in the  $F_r$  generation of the two populations are presented in Table  $(\Upsilon)$  the two base populations used in this study consisted of  $Y \cdot \cdot F_r$  families for each population traced beck to random sample from  $F_{\gamma}$  single plants originated from the crossing of Giza  $\xi \gamma \gamma/Misr \gamma$ and Giza  $\xi \gamma q$ /Assiut  $\gamma \gamma \circ$ . All traits in the  $F_{\gamma}$  generation showed wide rang of variability in both populations. Days to maturity ranged from 1 "o.o to 1 VY. " with an average  $1 \text{ V} \cdot$  days and  $\sigma' \text{ph} 9 \circ \cdot \cdot \circ \cdot$  and C.V% 1A.7.7 in population I. As well as it ranged from 175.7 to  $1 \vee 0.7$  days with an average of  $1 \vee 1.7$  days and  $\sigma' ph$  107.5 and C.V% 19.47% in population II. With respect to number of pod/plant, it was ranged from  $\xi$ . to  $\tau$ .  $\tau$  with an average of  $\tau$ .  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  ph  $\cdot$ .  $\tau$  as well as C.V%  $\mathbb{V}^{97}$  in population I. But, it was ranged from  $\varepsilon . \circ$  to  $\tau$ . A with an average of  $\varepsilon$ . And  $\sigma'$  ph  $\cdot$ .  $\tau \varepsilon$  as well as C.V%  $\tau$ .  $\tau \tau$ in population II. The plant height was ranged from 177.5 to 157.5with an average of 157.7 gm as well as  $\sigma^{\gamma}$  ph  $\gamma \circ \gamma$ . 17 and C.V% 17.9% in population I. While, it was ranged from 170.7 to 120.2 with an average of 15.5 gm as well as  $\sigma' ph \vee 11.5 \wedge$  and C.V% 19% in population II. The number of pods/plant wee ranged from o. Y to  $\forall \forall \cdot \cdot \forall$  and  $\sigma' ph \circ \land \cdot \land$  and  $C.V\% \land \land \land \land \land$ in population I. But, it was ranged from  $\xi \tau . \xi$  to  $\tau \tau . \lambda$  with an average of rq.q and  $\sigma'ph$   $r\xi.\gamma h$  and C.V%  $\xi.\lambda\gamma'$  in population II. Seed index was ranged from  $\P^{\P}$ . To  $\P^{!}$  to  $\P^{!}$  with an average of  $\P^{!}$  gm as well as  $\sigma' ph \, \forall \circ$  and C.V%  $\forall 7.7\%$  in population I. But, average of seed index ranged from  $1 \cdot A$  to 29.7 with an average of 00.7 gm as well as  $\sigma'$  ph  $\cdot \cdot \wedge$  and C.V%  $\cdot \wedge \cdot \cdot \cdot$  in population II. Seed yield/plant was ranged from 10.7 to 10.7 gm with an average of 10.1 gm. In addition  $\sigma'$  ph  $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s}$  and C.V%  $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s}$  in population I. But, it was ranged from  $\Lambda \xi$ . to  $\Im \Re$  gm with an average of  $\forall \circ$ .  $\Im$  gm. In addition  $\sigma$  ph 115.14 and C.V% 11.17 in population II. The pedigree selection was

practiced on the two population of faba bean for three traits, i.e. number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/plant.

#### **Pedigree selection**

The pedigree selection was practiced on the two populations of faba bean for three traits, i.e. number of pods/plant,  $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  seed weight and seed yield.

# Selection for number of pods/plant

The analysis of variance for the selected families for three selection criterion along with the parents, the bulk population and the check cultivars Giza  $\xi \cdot$  and Giza  $\forall \gamma \xi$  for the six studied traits in cycle  $\land$  (F<sub>2</sub> generation) and cycle  $\land$  (F<sub>2</sub> generation) of the two populations are shown in Table ( $\gamma$ ). The analysis of variance revealed highly significant difference (Tabler) among families for number of pods/plant and all other studied traits in the two cycle of selection in the two populations. Mean of the selected families, parents, bulk sample and check cultivar as wells genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variability are presented in Table  $\xi$ ,  $\circ$  and  $\tau$ . The over all mean of selected families after two cycle of pedigree selection for number of pods/plant in two populations were ranged from  $\xi \vee . \forall \cdot$  and  $\xi \vee . \forall \cdot$  in cycle  $\land$  to  $\circ \forall . \cdot \cdot$  and  $\circ \forall . 9 \cdot$  in cycle  $\forall$ . Also, the bulk sample ranged was from  $\xi \circ . \tau \cdot$  and  $\xi \tau . \lambda \cdot$  to  $\circ \tau . \xi$ and ol. V in population I and II respectively. Pedigree selection for number of pods/plant in population I reduced the genotypic coefficient of variability from  $\xi$ .  $\circ$  after the first cycle to  $\pi$ .  $\circ$  after the two cycles of selection for number of pods/plant and from  $\xi . \circ$  in cycle  $\land$  to  $\checkmark$ .  $\triangleleft$  in cycle  $\checkmark$  in seed yield/plant. The same trend was observed in population II. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Shalaby et al.  $(\tau \cdot \cdot \tau)$  and Yamani  $(\tau \cdot \cdot \tau)$ . The broad sense heritability after the two cycle of selection were high for number of pods/plant ( $\land \lor$ .  $\lor$  and  $\land \lor$ .  $\rbrace$ ), day to maturity ( $\land \circ$ .  $\lor$  and  $\land \P$ .  $\xi$ ), plant height ( $\P \circ . \P$  and  $\P \land . \xi$ ), number of branches/plant  $(\land 9. \forall and \land \land . \lor)$ , seed index  $(\land \circ . \lor and \land 9. \xi)$  and seed yield/plant  $(\land\land\land\lor)$  and  $(\circ,\lor)$  for population I and II, respectively. These data

were in agreement with those obtained by EI-Shazly (1997) and Haridy  $7 \cdot 9$ . The results of the phenotypic and genotypic correlations indicated high positive correlations between numbers of pods/plant and seed yield/plant in populations I and II. However the correlations between days to maturity and seed yield/plant was negative in both populations. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Antoun et al. (1991), Abdelmula et al.  $(7 \cdot 5)$  and Alghmadi  $(7 \cdot 7)$ .

Table  $\checkmark$ : Means, phenotypic variance  $(\sigma' ph)$  and expected genetic advance  $(\Delta G)$  of base population)  $F_r$  for days to maturity, Plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, seed index and seed yield/plant for populations I and II.

Item		days to maturit y	Plant height	No. of branche s/ plant	No. of pods/pla nt	Seed index	Seed yield/pla nt
Fr- Popu		179.99	155.77	۳.۷۳	٤٠.٨٢	07.00	۷۹.۰٦
Means	± <b>S.E.</b>	± •.^٩	± •.٧٤	± •.•10	±•.77	±•.۲0	± •.٣0
Max.		١٧٢.٣٣	127.00	٣.٩٩	0.17	09.77	۸۰ <sub>.</sub> ۰۷
Mi	n.	180.00	178.22	٣.00	٣٦.٣٥	۳۹٫۳۳	70.97
ס ֿו	ph	90.07	٦٥٧.١٢	•.7٧	٥٨.٠٨	۷۰	154
CV	%	14.15%	١٧.٩٠٪	۱۳ <u>.</u> ۹۳ <u>٪</u>	١٨.٦٧%	۱۲ <u>.</u> ۱۷٪	10.72%
Δ	5	۲.00	1.95	• 14	۲٫٦٨	1.47	۳٫۸۹
F <sup>۳</sup> - Pop II Means	•	۱۷۱٫۳۰ ± ۰٫۹۸	۱٤٠.۳۷ ± ۰.۷۷	٣.٩٩ ± •.•١٤	۳۹.۹٤ ± ۰.۱۷	00.1V ± •	۷٥.٥٩ ± • ٣٧
Ma	IX.	140.22	120.28	٤.٤٤	٤٣.٤٣	٦٠.٧٧	٨٤.٠٩
Mi	n.	185.01	170.71	۳.۷٥	۳۳.۷۸	29.77	٦٦.٨٩
ס׳ו	ph	1107.21	۷۱۱٬٤۸	• . 7 £	٣٤.٦٨	١٠٨	175.77
CV%		19.17%	۱٩٪	١٢.١٦٪	15 17%	۱۸.۸٤%	۱٦ <u>,</u> ٩٦%
$\Delta \mathbf{G}$		۲.۱۲	۲.1٦	•.1•	۳.1٩	۲.۳۷	٦.٨٤
	Giza ٤٢٩	10.11	180.9.	0.7.	٥٢.٩٨	٤٩.00	٩٠.٩٤
<b>D</b> (	Misr \	171.70	105.5.	٤.٠٠	٤0.11	70.22	٥٤.٣٢
Parents	Assiut	174.7.	101.11	٤٥١	09	00.00	71,71

- 101 -

Table ε: Means, phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %)coefficients of variability, heritability in broad-sense(H.B.S). In the two cycle of pedigree selection for the threeselection criteria for populations I and II.

	Items	Soluction critterita							
Items		No. of pods/plant		Seed index		Seed yield/plant			
			(C <sup>v</sup> )F.	(C))F:	(C۲)F₀	$(C^{1})F_{i}$	(C <sup>v</sup> )F.		
		Day	ys to matur	ity					
	P١	17	109.77	17.1.	109.07	17	109.87		
	P۲	129.9.	120	129.97	120.07	129.9.	120.19		
	Bulk	177.00	107.18	177.77.	107.17	177.79	107.77		
Pop I	Check (G. t ·)	-	127.0.	-	157.75	-	157.51		
горт	Check (G. ))	-	175.58	-	175.50	-	175,19		
	Selected families	170.1.	104.44	175.1.	104.11	175.10	104		
	PCV %	٣.٦٩	۳.۰۹	٣.٢٤	۲.۹۷	۳.۳۲	۲٫۸۹		
	GCV %	۳.۳۳	۲.۸۰	5.05	۲۸۲ ۲	٣.١٤	۲.٧٤		
	H.B.S %	A1.7A	٨٥.٠٩	71.74	19.97	19.51	A9 AV		
	P۱	171.11	177.2.	174.04	177.29	177.72	177, 1.		
	P۲	10.12	120.7.	10.77	120.70	10.10	120.77		
	Bulk	170,11	101.99	170.00	101.17	170.00	101.9		
Den H	Check $(G. \mathfrak{t})$	-	157.77	-	157.17	-	127.19		
Pop II	Check (G. 175)	-	175.00	-	177.91	-	175.07		
	Selected families	170.70	104.72	171.00	109.74	170.22	104.74		
	PCV %	٤.٥	۳.۸٦	۳.۲۸	7.97	7	1.79		
	GCV %	5.77	۳.٦٥	۳.۱۳	۲.۸۰	1.41	1.07		
	H.B.S %	۸۷.۸۵	٨٩ ٤	۹۰.۸۸	97.77	<u> </u>	٨٢.٤		
	Di		Plant height		101 11	1005	107.0		
	P1	100.2.	107.77	100.27	107.77	100	107.0.		
	Р٢	177	177.97	177.77	177.17	177	177.77		
	Bulk	124.4.	10.1.	154.44	10.17	154.40	10.12		
	Check $(G. \mathfrak{t})$	-	184.10	-	177.91	-	184.27		
Pop I	Check (G. )	-	105.49	-	105.70	-	107.17		
	Selected families	120.78	129.77	122.00	124.00	124.75	101.72		
	PCV %	٤٨٥	٣.٩٦	٦٫٨٩	٦.٠٤	٤.١٧	۲ <sub>.</sub> ۹٦		
	GCV %	٤.٤٥	۳.۸۸	٦.٧٥	0.90	٣.٩٦	۲.۸۸		
	H.B.S %	٨٤.٣٨	90.98	90,9	97,9	9.77	95.05		
	P١	102	1011.	105.1	101.1.	105.5.	101.1.		
	P۲	177.9.	177.99	187.72	177 77	187.90	177.77		
	Bulk	157.9.	127.00	127.91	127.02	127.9.	151.77		
	Check (G. t · )	-	177.00	-	177.77	-	171.9		
Рор	Check $(G, \forall f)$	_	100.9	_	100 11	_	100 27		
II	Selected families	125.17	124.5	120.7	101.1	122 20	10011		
	PCV %	٨.٩٦	V.0	0,12	۳.۸۷	0 AA	٤ ٩٨		
		A_AA	7,99	0 07	٣.٧٣	0.77	T. 0		
	GCV %			·	-	-	-		
	H.B.S %	٩٨.٢١	٩٨.٣٩	۹۰.٦٨	٩٢.٨٢	٩٤.٨٤	97.70		

		Seed yield/plant		Seed index		Seed yield/plant				
		$(\mathbf{C}^{\gamma})\mathbf{F}_{\sharp}$ $(\mathbf{C}^{\gamma})\mathbf{F}_{\bullet}$		(C¹)F₅	(C <sup>7</sup> )F.	$(C^{1})F_{\mathfrak{t}}$	(Ĉ۲)F。			
	Number of branches/plant									
	Р١	٤٣٠	٤.0.	٤٣٣	٤.٥٤	٤.٤٤٠	٤.0٦			
-	P۲	0.71	۰.۷۰	०.९९	0.0V	०.१४	°.V°			
-	Bulk	۳.۸۰	٤١٠	۳٫۸۳	٤.٤٠	٣.٧٧	٤٩٣			
	Check $(G. \mathfrak{t})$	-	٤.٠٢	Selection	n criteřĭa	-	٤.٣٣			
-	Cleevk (G. 14 2)	-	0 0	-	0 17	-	0.1			
Pop I	Selected families		eld/plant		index, ,		ld/plant			
-	PCV %	(G)) <b>F</b> :	(CV)E.	(C.)) <b>F</b> /t	(Ç?)F.	(G†) <b>F</b> :	(C/)E.			
	GCV %	00	leed index	٤٨٠٤٢	22 <u>.</u> Vo	09.90	٥٥.٧٨			
	<u>H.B.Ş %</u>	<u> </u>	Λ٩.οΛ	9.71	97.9	97	۹١ ٧٧			
	<b>₽</b> {	12.0	Vo. 10.	12.02	V	18.09	49.441.			
	₿₹	08.19 <b>.</b>	13.7.	°8.74°	13.77	°8.39	19.10			
	Bulk	٤.٠٠	٤١٠	٤.٠٥	٤.1٢	٤.٠٩	٤.١٥			
	Check (G. t · )	-	0.+2	-	0.09	-	0.22			
Pop II	Check (G. 17 f)	-	0.77	-	0.11	-	٥ <u>.</u> ٢٦			
	Selected families	٤.11	٤.٣٥	٤.٣٥	°.**	٤٠٤٠	0.77			
-	PCV %	20.21	٤٤.٧٣	09.07	00.71	٥٣.٤	01.91			
-	GCV %	٤٢.00	٤١,٩٩	00.11	07.77	01.77	٤٦ <sub>.</sub> ٦٥			
	H.B.S %	۸۷.۷۵	۸۸ ۱۲	۸۷ <u>.</u> ۲۷	<u> </u>	٨٨. ٤٧	91.22			
		No.	of pods/pla							
	P١	٤٧	٤٩ ٣٠	٤٧.٠٨	٤٩.٣٥	24.22	٤٩ <sub>.</sub> ٣٩			
	P۲	00.7.	01.9.	00.70	٥٨.٩٨	00.51	01.VA			
	Bulk	٤0.٣٠	01.2.	٤0.77	01.20	٤0.٣٦	01.27			
	Check $(G. \mathfrak{t})$	-	70.15	-	70.01	-	70.71			
Pop I	Check (G. ) (	-	10.11	-	Y0.70	-	٧٥.٣٥			
	Selected families	٤٧.٢٠	00.91	٤٧.٠٠	00 <sub>.</sub> 97	54.71	00.97			
	PCV %	٤٨٥	٣.٦٩	٦.٠٥	٥.٨٧	٧.٦٢	0.12			
	GCV %	٤.٤٥	٣.٤٥	0.77	0.00	V.11	०.०٦			
	H.B.S %	٨٤.٣٩	۸۷.0٦	۸۷.٦٦	19.00	۸۷.۰٦	٩٠.٦٧			
	P١	٥٢.٠٠	00.9.	07.77	00.40	٥٢.٨٧	00.Y.			
	P۲	٥٦.٠٠	09	00.22	09.07	٥٦.٧٦	09.71			
	Bulk	٤٢.٨٠	07.7.	٤٢.٨٧	٥٦ <u>.</u> ٧٦	٤٢ <u>.</u> ٥٥	07.27			
<b>D H</b>	Check $(G. \mathfrak{t})$	-	70.07	-	٦٥.٠٠	-	70 <u>.</u> 12			
Pop II	Check (G. 17 £)	-	٧٦.٤٤	-	٧٦١٩	-	٧٦٠٨			
	Selected families	٤٧.٢٧	٥٦ ٨٧	٤٧.٩٧	04.20	٤٨.٣٣	٥٧.٧٥			
	PCV %	٧.٥٧	٦.٤	0.12	۳.۹۸	٨.٥	٦.٧٧			
	GCV %	٦ <u>,</u> ٦٦	٥.٩٨	٤.٧١	۳.۷۳	٧.٧٨	٦.٤٥			
	H.B.S %	۷۷٫٤۳	۸۷ ۳٦	٨٧.٢٤	٨٧.٧٩	۸۳.۸٦	٩٠.٧٦			
T 11	A. Maana nha			(a) and		mic (C				

H. E. Yassien et al.,

Table•: Means, phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %)<br/>coefficients of variability, heritability in broad-sense (H.B.S).<br/>In the two cycle of pedigree selection for the three selection<br/>criteria for populations I and II .

1	1						
Pop I	Bulk	٥٩.٣٠	٦0,9.	٥٨.٣٠	75.90	09.79	٦٣.٩٠
	Check (G. $\cdot$ )	-	٧٠.١١	-	٧٠.٠١	-	V. 1A
	Check (G. ) (	-	٥٧.٩٨	-	٥٨٠٩	-	01.70
	Selected families	٥٨ <sub>.</sub> ٦٦	٦٥	01.11	٦٤.٠٠	٥٧.٩٩	۲۳.۲۰
	PCV %	٣.٦٩	۳.۰۹	٣.٢٤	۲٫۹۷	۳.۳۲	۲.۸۹
	GCV %	۳.۳۳	٢٨٥	۳.۰۲	۲۸.۲	٣.١٤	۲۷٤
	H.B.S %	11.10	11.01	٨٦ ٩٢	٨٩.٨٨	۸۹ <u>۳</u> ۲	۸۹.۸۱
	Р١	٦٨.0.	٧٥.٩٠	٦٧ <u>.</u> ٥٦	٧٤.٩٣	٦٧.0٩	٧٥ <sub>.</sub> ٤٤
	P۲	00.20	٦٣.٣٠	00.74	٦٢.٣٥	05.90	۳۳.۳۷
	Bulk	٦٠ ٜ٦٢	٦0 <u>,</u> ٦٧	71.70	٦٣.٦٠	71.7.	70 <u>,</u> 79
	Check (G. $\mathfrak{t}$ )	-	٧٠.٨٨	-	٧٠.٧٥	-	٧٠.٦٤
Рор	Check (G. 7 V f)	-	٥٨.٣٣	-	٥٨.٤٢	-	٥٨.٣٣
II	Selected families	7.77	٦٧	09.71	۲٥.۲۲	09.20	٦٤.٨٥
	PCV %	٤٥	۳٫۸٦	۳.۲۸	۳.۱۷	٣.٦٩	۲.9٤
	GCV %	٤.٢٢	۳.٦٥	۳.۱۳	۳.۰۲	۳.۲٥	۷۷.۲
	H.B.S %	۸۷.۷۰	٨٩ ٣٩	9.97	91.01	۲۷.٦٢	٨٩.٠٥
		See	d yield/pla	ant			
	P١	٦٧.٥	٧٨ ٩	٦٧.0	٧٨٩	٦٧.٥	٧٨٩
	P۲	۹۹ ۷۰	17.71	99.77	177.15	99.72	177.17
	Bulk	۷۲.۰۰	٩٧.٢٠	۷۲.۵۷	۹۷.۲۸	۷۱.۰۰	97.70
	Check (G. t ·)	-	17.71	-	۲۱.۷۸	-	۰۰ ۸۷
Pop I	Check (G. ) (	-	1.0.77	-	1.0.70	-	1.1.11
	Selected families	9.77	1.7.22	٩٠.00	۱۰۷٫٦٦	91.70	۱.٨.٤٩
	PCV %	٤٠٨٤	٣.٩٦	٦.٨٩	٦٠٤	٤.١٧	۲ <sub>.</sub> ۹٦
	GCV %	٤.٤٥	۳_۸۸	٦.٧٥	0,90	٣.٩٦	۲.۷۷
	H.B.S %	٨٤ ٣٧	90.91	90.9	٩٧	9.77	95.01
	P١	۸۸ ۷۰	۹١	17.44	97	٥٣.٨٨	91.00
	P۲	۹۹ ۷۰	117.11	99.17	17.71	91.10	177.22
	Bulk	٧٧.١٠	97.0.	٧٥.١٠	97.77	۷۳.۱۳	97.19
	Check (G. $\cdot$ )	-	٨٧.٤٥	-	۸۷.٦٧	-	۸۷.۹۹
Pop	Check (G. Y f)	-	1.5.9	-	1.9.11	-	1.92
II	Selected families	9.97	1.0.72	97.11	۱۱۰.۸۰	٩٠.٩٣	1.9.7.
	PCV %	9.17	۷.۱٦	٦,٣٦	٤١٤	٦_١٨	0.17
	GCV %	91,71	٩٨.٣٩	٩٠.٦٨	٩٢.٨٣	٩٤ ٨٤	97.70
	H.B.S %	91,71	٩٨.٣٩	٩٠.٦٨	٩٢.٨٣	٩٤ ٨٤	97.70
Tabla	7. Maans nhar			%) and	a a ra a fra	mic (C	$\mathbf{CV}$ %

Table\Lemma:Means, phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %)coefficients of variability, heritability in broad-sense(H.B.S). In the two cycle of pedigree selection for the threeselection criteria for populations I and II.

Table <sup>V</sup>: Phenotypic (rp) and genotypic (rg) correlation coefficients between seed yield/plant and each of other traits for populations I and populations II of F. generations when selection was based on number of pods/plant (PP), seed index(SI) and seed yield/plant (SYP) in faba bean.

Characters		No. of pods/plant		Seed	l index	Seed yield/plant		
		Pop. I	Pop. II	Pop. I	Pop. II	Pop. I	Pop. II	
		seed yield/plant						
days to	rp	_•.ºY	-•.ºV	-*.00	_• <u>.</u> 0٣	_• <u>.</u> 0Y	-•.٣ź	
maturity	rg	<u>-۰</u> .٤٣	-•.01	<u>-•</u> .01	_• <u></u> ٤٢	_•_££	_•.٢٥	
Plant height	rp	•_£7	•	•	• £9	• . ٣٥	• . ٦٩	
neight	rg	• 79	•. ٢ •	• 77	•_£٣	•_٣٣	• . ٤ ٤	
No. of	rp	•.٣٥	•.79	• .09	• . ٤ ٤	٠.٤٩	•.00	
branches/ plant	rg	• 19	•.00	۰.٤٧	٠.٣٩	•_٣٤	• .٣٨	
No. of	rp	• .07	•.٧0	•.00	• • • ٨	• .07	• ٧٩	
pods/plant	rg	•.77	•.7•	• ٤١	٠.٤٧	۰.٤٩	•	
Seed index	rp	• .07	• .77	• . ٤ ٤	• . ٣٦	•_£٦	• 57	
	rg	۰.٤٦	•.00	•	• . ٢٥	۰.٤٠	•.70	

# Selection for seed index.

The analysis of variance for selected families for three selection criterion along with the parents, the bulk population and the check cultivars Giza  $\xi \cdot$  and Giza  $\forall \forall \xi$  for the six studied traits in cycle  $\forall$  (F<sub> $\xi$ </sub> generation) and cycle  $\forall$  (F<sub> $\delta$ </sub> generation) of the two population are shown in Table ( $\forall$ ). The analysis of variance revealed highly significant difference (Table $\forall$ ) among families for seed index and all other studied in the two cycle of selection in the two populations. Mean of the selected families, parents, bulk sample and checks cultivar as wells genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variability are presented in Table  $\xi$ ,  $\circ$  and  $\exists$ . The over all means of selected families after two cycle of pedigree selection for seed index in two populations ranged from  $\circ \land . \forall$  and  $\exists \cdot . \forall$  in cycle

one to  $1 \circ . \cdot$  and  $1 \vee . \cdot$  in cycle two. Also, the bulk sample ranged from  $\circ 9.\%$  and 7.7 to 70.9 and 70.% in population I and II respectively. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Abo-Elwafa and Bakheit (1999b) and Nageeb  $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ . Pedigree selection for seed index in population I reduced the genotypic coefficient of variability from  $r \cdot r$  after the first cycle to  $r \cdot \Lambda r$  after the two cycle of selection for seed index and from  $\tau$ . A in cycle  $\gamma$  to  $\tau$ . • in cycle two in seed yield/plant. The same trend was observed in population II. The broad sense heritability after the two cycle of selection were high for seed yield/plant  $(9 \vee . \cdot \text{ and } 9 \vee . \wedge)$ , day to maturity ( $\land 9.9$  and 97.7), number of pods/plant ( $\land 9.7$  and  $\land 7.4$ ), number of branches/plant (97.) and  $\lambda7.$ ), seed index  $(\lambda9.9 \text{ and }$ (9)...) and plant height (97.9 and 97.1) for population I and II, respectively. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Bakheit and Mahdy (194Aa), Katiyar and Singh (199) and Bakheit (1997). The results of the phenotypic and genotypic correlations indicated high positive correlations between numbers of pods/plant and seed yield/plant in populations I and II. While the correlations between days to maturity and seed yield/plant was negative in both populations. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Abd-Allah (1991), El-Shazly (1997), Abo-Elezz (7...) and Alan and Geren ( $^{\vee}$  · ·  $^{\vee}$ ).

# Selection for seed yield /plant.

The results indicated highly significant differences among genotypes (selected families, parents, bulk population and check cultivars) in the population I and II. Means of the selected families, parents, bulk sample and check cultivar Giza  $\xi \cdot$  and Giza  $\forall \forall \xi$ , in addition to heritability in broad sense, genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variability for all studied traits when selection was based on seed yield/plant are presented in Table  $\xi . \circ$  and  $\exists$ . The average seed yield of the selected families of populations I and II increased from  $\forall \xi \forall . \forall \cdot$  and  $\forall \xi \xi . \circ \cdot$  in F $\xi$  generation (cycle  $\forall$ ) to  $\forall \circ \land . \forall \cdot$  and  $\forall \circ \circ . \forall \cdot$  gm/plant in F $\circ$  generation (cycle  $\forall$ ), respectively. These data were in agreement with those obtained by

Mahmoud  $(\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \Upsilon)$  and Lithy and Abdel-Aal  $(\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \Sigma)$ . The average seed yield/plant for the bulk populations was Y1.0. and 97.7 gm/plant for population I and  $\forall \pi$ .  $\forall \cdot$  and  $\forall \tau$ .  $\forall \cdot$  gm/plant for population II in  $F_{\epsilon}$  and  $F_{\circ}$  generations, respectively. The results indicated that pedigree selection decreased the genetic variability measured as genotypic coefficients of variability of the selection criterion and other studied traits of the two cycle of selection in both populations (Tables  $\xi$ ,  $\circ$  and  $\tau$ ). Falconer ( $19 \land 9$ ) stated that selection reduces genotypic variance of the following generation. Heritability estimate of family means increase with the increase in homozygosity (from cycle  $\land$  to cycle  $\land$ ) in all studied traits. Also, heritability in broad sense after two cycle of selection were high for all studied traits in populations I and II. The results showed that direct selection for seed yield/plant which is the main goal in the all breeding programs, increased it by 17.7 and 14.5% from the bulk sample after two cycles of selection in populations I and II respectively. Such increased accompanied with increased in number of pods/plant (9... and 7...) the effect of pedigree selection on phenotypic and genotypic correlations after two cycle in the two populations are presented in Table  $(\forall)$ . The genotypic and phenotypic correlations between seed yield/plant and each of plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant and seed index were moderate and positive in populations I and II. While the correlation between seed yield and day to maturity was negative in both populations. These data were in agreement with those obtained by Abo-Elwafa et al (1947), Bakheit and Mahdy  $(19 \land \land a)$ , Bakheit and Mahdy  $(19 \land \land b)$ , Katiyar and Singh (199.) and Tadaesse et al. (7.11).

#### **REFERENCES**:

- Abd-Allah, M. M **١٩٩١**. Inherityance of some characters in cowpea. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.
- Abdelmula, A.A. and I.K. Abuanja. Υ··ε.Genotypes responses yield stability and association between characters among

some Sudanese faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) genotypes under heat stress. (C.F. Computer Res. Int. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).

- Abo-Elwafa, A.A. and A.A. Ismail. 1999a. Selection for yield and protein in two populations of lentil. Assiut J. Agric. Sci.  $r \cdot : 9r 1 \cdot r$ .
- Abo-Elwafa, A.A. and A.A. Ismail. ١٩٩٩b. performance, correlations and path coefficients analysis in faba bean. Assiut J. Agric. Sci.,  $r \cdot : \gamma \gamma 9 \cdot .$
- Abo-El-Wafa, A. A; A.M.Eissa; M. A. El-Morshidy and E.E. Mahdy \9AV. Correlation and path coefficient Analysis in lentil. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., \A:\.T-\\A.
- Abo-Elezz, A. A Y · · o. Comparative studies on pedigree and bulk selection in peanuts. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt
- Ahmed, M.S.H.;S.H.M. Abd-El-Haleem; M.A. Bakheit and S.M.S. Mohamed. ۲۰۰۸. Comparison of three selection methods for yield and its components of three faba bean (Vicia faba L.) crosses. World Jour of Agric., Sci. ٤: ווייס-ודק.
- Alan, O and H. Geren Y. Y. Evaluation of heritability and correlation for seed yield and yield components in faba bean. Jour. Agron., 7:  $\xi \land \xi \xi \land Y$ .
- Alghmadi, S. Y • V. Genetic behavior of some selected faba bean genotypes. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings A: Y • 9-Y ) E.
- Al- Jibouri, H.A.; P.A.Hiller and H.F.Robinson ופּסּא.Genotypic and environmental variance and co-variance in upland cotton cross of interspecific origin.
   Agron.J.o.: כיוד כוד ו

Antoun, S.D.; M.A. Omar; M.M. EI-Hady and S.H. Mahmoud. 1991. Correlation and path coefficients studies in some faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) crosses. Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev. 17: 777-777.

- 770 -

- **Bakheit.** 1997. Genetical studies of some Egyptian and imported Varieties of seed yield in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). FABIS Newsletter,  $r \cdot : 1 \cdot -1 \xi$ .
- **Bakheit, B.R. and E.E. Mahdy. \9AAa.** Selection for seed yield in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). FABIS **Y ·** : **Y**-A.
- **Bakheit, B.R. and E.E. Mahdy. \٩٨٨b.** Variation, correlations, and path coefficient analysis for some characters in collections of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). FABIS, Υ·: ٩-
- EI-Shazly, M.S. ١٩٩٣. Potential variability and correlations among some agronomical characters in a collection of faba beans (*Vicia faba L.*). Zagazig. T. Agric. Res. Y.: ogo-J).
- **F. A. O. Y**. Y. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO Prod. Year book.
- Falconer, D.S. 1941. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Hng Kong London.
- Haridy, M.H. Y. 9. The inheritance of earliness, seed yield, yield components and *Orobanche crenata* tolerance in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Lithy, R. E and A. I. N. Abdel-Aal Υ·· ٤. Performance of faba bean genotypes under the environmental conditions of southern Egypt at toshky. Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev. Υ ε: Υ ) - ε ٩.
- Johanson, W.J.; H.F. Robanson and R.E. Comstock 1900. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron. J.  $\xi V, T \xi = \xi 1 A$ .
- Katiyar, R.P. and A.K. Singh. ۱۹۹۰. Path coefficient studies for yield and yield components in faba bean (Vicia faba L.). FABIS Newsletter, ۱۹۹۰, No. ۲٦, ٣-٥.
- Mahmoud, A. E. Y. Y. Pedigree selection in two segregating populations of lentil, *Len culinaris* Med. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.

- Mwanan wenge, J.,S.P.loss,K.H.M.Siddique and P.S.Coks. ) 9  $\wedge$ . Growth seed yield and water use of faba bean (*vicia faba L.*) in a short season –Mediterranean- type environment-Asuit. J. Exp.Agric.,  $\forall \land : \land \lor \lor \lor \land \land$ .
- Nageeb, S.P. (Y • Y). Variation and hybridization in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.
- Shalaby, F.II.; Sabah M. Attia; H.M. Ibrahim; S.R. Saleeb; Kh.A.
  A. Assily and Sohir A. Mokhtar. Y · · ). Evaluation of Some breeding methodologies in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) Mansoura Univ. J. Agric. Sci., YJ: OY·O-OY)O.
- Steel, RG.D. and J.H. Torrie. **\9A.** Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book Company, Inc. New .
- Tadaesse,T.;M. Fikere,;T.Legsse. andA. Parven. Y ١ ١.Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and its<br/>component in faba (Vicia faba L.)<br/>germplasm.Int.J.Biodvers.Conserv. Y: YYI- YAY.
- Yamani, K.M.M. Y · · Y. Response to selection for seed yield and its components in two populations of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*). bean (*Vicia faba L.*). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mini Univ., Egypt.

الاستجابة للانتخاب للمحصول ومكوناته في الفول البلدي

حمزة السيد يسن \*عبد الرحيم سيد عبد الرحيم ابوالحمد \* \*نبيل عيد عزاز \* \* مختار حسن هريدى \* \* \*جامعة الزهر –كلية الزراعة – قسم المحاصيل – فرع القاهرة. \* \*جامعة الزهر –كلية الزراعة – قسم المحاصيل – فرع أسيوط.

أجرى هذا البحث في ثلاثة مواسم زراعيه هي من ٢٠٠٩/٢٠٠٩، ٢٠٠٩/٢٠٠٩ و الجرى هذا البحث في مزرعة تجارب كلية الزراعة- جامعة الأزهر - فرع أسيوط في ثلاث تجارب الأولى الانتخاب لعدد القرون والثانية الانتخاب لوزن ١٠٠بذرة والثالثة الانتخاب لمحصول النبات وكان الهدف من هذا البحث : تقدير الاستجابة المباشرة لدورتين للانتخاب المنسب للتبكير وكذلك المحصول و تقدير الارتباط المظهري والوراثي.

الصفات التي تم دراستها هي :- عدد الأيام حتى ٩٠ % نضج، طول النبات (سم) ، عدد الأفرع / النبات، عدد القرون / النبات،وزن ١٠٠ بذره ومحصول البذور للنبات (جم) . استخدمت في هذه الدراسة عشيرتين من الفول البلدي كالتالي:-

العشيرة الأولى : ( مصر x 1 جيزة ٤٢٩ ) والعشيرة الثانية: ( اسيوط٥٢١ جيزة ٤٢٩ )

أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها يمكن عرضها كالتالي :-

أظهر تحليل التباين وجود اختلافات عالية معنوية بين العائلات المنتخبة لصفة محصول البذور للنبات (جم) في كل من العشيرتين مما يدل على أن الانتخاب داخل العشيرتين محصول البذور لنبات العائلات المنتخبة يتراوح يكون فعالا . وفى العشيرة الأولى كان متوسط محصول البذور لنبات العائلات المنتخبة يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١٩٠٧ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الأولى و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١١٧ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الأولى و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١٩٠٩ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الأولى و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١١٧ و١٩٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الأولى و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١١٧ و١٢٢ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الأولى و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و١٩٠٤ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة و يتراوح ٢٠٠٩ و ١٠٥٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الثانية و يتراوح ٢٠٠٩ و ١٠٠٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الثانية و يتراوح ٢٠٩٠ و ١٠٠٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الثانية و يتراوح ٢٠٩٠ و ١١٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الثانية و يتراوح ٢٠٩٠ و ١٠٠٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة الثانية و يتراوح ٢٠٩٠ و ١٠٠٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة من والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة معنوب و يتراوح ٢٠٩٠ و ١٠٠٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة من والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة معنوفت موالرابع في التجربة يتراوح ٤٠٠٠ و دما (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة الثانية حيث تفوقت الثانية و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و ٢١٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التجربة مع مالثانثة حيث يتوقت والرابع في التجربة والرابع في التحربة يوان و يحمون و المنانية والرابع في التحربة مالثانية حيث يتوقت الثانية و يتراوح ١٠٠٠ و ٢١٠ (جم) للجيل الثالث والرابع في التحربة الثالث والرابع في التجربة معنون الثانثة حيث يتوقت والرابع في التحربة على الثالث والرابع في التحربة يوان الثالث تحارب في كل الثانية والرابع في الثلاث تجارب في كل الثانية والرابع في التحربة المنانية والرابع في التحربة والرابة و من العشيرة المعموري والورزي بي و المان مولى و الصفات العائزي و يولى الذي و الرابع في الثلاث تحارب في كل المنانية ما ما مي ولى المعمر و والورزي و يولى الما معروي و المولى و المولى الما مولى و المولى

- 778 -